# **Georgian Institute of Public Affairs**

# **School of Government**

# **MA Program in Business Administration**

## WRITTEN TEST OF ENGLISH FOR SPECIAL PURPOSES

# **Business English**

Difficulty Level: B2 / Intermediate

| Applicant's name, surname: |  |
|----------------------------|--|
|----------------------------|--|

#### **Tbilisi**

#### 1. Read the text about company structure and do some exercises below: 30 minutes

#### A Matter of Choice

That reliable workhorse of capitalism \_the joint-stock company looks surprisingly durable. But pressure on it is increasing.

In 1967 John Kenneth Galbraith's The New Industrial State argued that the USA was run by a handful of big companies who planned the economy in the name of stability.

These were hierarchical and bureaucratic organizations making long runs of standardized products. They introduced "new and improved" varieties with predictable regularity; they provided their workers with lifetime employment and they enjoyed fairly good industrial relations with the giant trade unions.

That world is now dead. The US's giant corporations have either disappeared or been transformed by global competition. Most have shifted their production systems from high-volume to high-value from standardized to customized. And they have flattened their management hierarchies. Few people these days expect to spend their lives moving up the ladder of a single organization. Dramatic changes are taking place. But where exactly are they taking us? Where is the modern company heading?

There are three standard answers to this question. The first is that a handful of giant companies are engaged in a "silent takeover" of the world. The past couple of decades have seen a record number of mergers. The survivors, it is maintained, are far more powerful than nation states.

The second school of thought argues almost the opposite; it says that big companies are a thing of the past. For a glimpse of the future, look at the Monorail Corporation, which sells computers. Monorail owns no factories, warehouses or any other tangible assets. It operates from a single floor that it leases in an office building in Atlanta. Freelance workers are designing the computers while demand is still low.

The third school of thoughts says that companies are being replaced by "networks". Groups of entrepreneurs form such a network to market an idea. They then sell it to the highest bidder and move on to produce another idea and to create another firm, with the money being supplied all the time by venture capitalists.

Another way to look at the future of the company is to focus on the environment that will determine it.

That environment is dominated by one thing: choice. Technology and globalization open up ever more

opportunities for individuals and firms to collect information and conduct economic activity outside traditional structures. While the age of mass production lowered the costs of products at the expense of limiting choices, modern "flexible" production systems both lower costs and increase choice. Consumers have more choice over where they spend their money. Producers have more choice over where to put their money. With all that choice around, future companies will have to be more flexible in order to quickly adapt to the changing environments if they are to survive.

(The Economist) 1. What were the characteristic of US corporations in the past? 2. What changes have occurred to those corporations?\_\_\_\_\_ 3. What is meant by "shifting from high-volume to highvalue"?\_\_\_\_\_

| 4.  | What different types of future companies does the author mention?       |
|-----|---|
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
| 5.  | Why does he believe there is not one definite type of future company?   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
| ô.  | What does he believe to be the key to survival for companies in future? |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     |   |
|     | Find the words in the text to describe people who:                      |
| 7.  | Work independently or on short-term contracts                           |
| 3.  | Are willing to take risks   |
| €.  | Are willing to invest in new and/or risky business projects             |
| 10. | Express a wish to buy something   |
| L1. | Make goods  |
|     | Own part of a company   |

## 2. Match the words with the definitions: (5 minutes; vocabulary)

| 1. | Bureaucracy           | a. | Goods of the same quality and design  |
|----|-----------------------|----|---------------------------------------|
| 2. | Flattened hierarchy   | b. | Inflexible system of administration   |
| 3. | Standardized products | C. | Rent a building on a temporary basis  |
| 4. | Lifetime employment   | d. | More middle than senior managers      |
| 5. | merger                | e. | Building and machinery a company owns |
| 6. | customized            | f. | Permanent jobs                        |
| 7. | Tangible assets       | g. | Made for a particular user            |
| 8. | lease                 | H. | When two companies become one         |

# 3. Complete the text with the appropriate form of the present simple or continuous: (10 minutes; grammar)

## Will the corporation Survive?

| Since the corporation was invented it has been     | widely accepted that bringing activities  | s together into one  |
|--|---|----------------------|
| large company (1 lower) "tra                       | ansactional" and communication costs,     | and that suppliers   |
| and manufacturers (2 have)                         | market power due to their knowledg        | ge of markets.       |
| However nowadays the internet (3 eliminate) _      | the physical costs                        | of communication.    |
| As a result it (4 become)                          | more profitable to outsource many         | activities. Power (5 |
| shift) to the customer w                           | ho could be either another business or    | the end-user.        |
| All this raises two burning questions. Firstly, (6 | it/mean) that the                         | e supplier will no   |
| longer be the seller but become the buyer for t    | he customer? Secondly, (7 diversification | on /change)          |
| the structure o                                    | of companies? The answer to both ques     | tions at the         |
| moment is yes for many business sectors. Some      | e of the US's largest pharmaceutical cor  | mpanies (8 not       |
| /manufacture)                                      | _ drugs themselves anymore. During th     | nis transition       |
| period, they (9 wholesale)                         | every other kind of pharmacy p            | roduct as well. At   |
| the annual conference for man.                     |   |                      |
| agers in the pharmaceutical sector, which (10 t    | ake place)                                | _ next month, the    |
| main topic will surely be what to outsource to     | smaller companies next.                   |                      |

| 4. | Put the words in the correct  | groups: (5 | minutes        | vocabular   | 1) |
|----|-------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------|----|
| ┰. | i at the words in the confect | groups. (s | , iiiiiiiaccs, | , vocasaiai |    |

Forecasts; delegate; entrepreneur; subordinate; threat; shareholder; authority; producer; hierarchy; supervise; growth; planning; opportunity; task; subsidiary;

| Companies | Leadership | Strategy |  |
|-----------|------------|----------|--|
|           |            |          |  |
|           |            |          |  |
|           |            |          |  |
|           |            |          |  |
|           |            |          |  |

### 5. Now use the words to complete the text: (10 minutes; vocabulary)

| Viviane Rowland is the managing director of a sm    | all company, Tristar Laminates,   | which she founded      |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| with a group of fellow 1)                           | _ in June 2000. Together they h   | nad successfully       |
| identified a business 2)                            | _ to produce fiberglass compor    | nents for the marine   |
| industry. Initially the three founders were the onl | y 3)                              | in the company but it  |
| soon became clear that they would need extra ca     | pital. They eventually managed    | d to convince a local  |
| businessman to invest 50 000 in exchange for 30 p   | per cent of the company. Since    | then Tristr's          |
| 4) has been spectacular and                         | l Viviane now 5)                  | a staff of 18          |
| people and an operation with half a million pound   | ds in sales. 6)                   | for the future is      |
| the immediate problem that the company has to       | face. At the moment productio     | n is carried out in a  |
| factory that has become too small but finding an    | alternative site is not an easy 7 | )                      |
| This industry is a dange                            | rous one for small 8)             | like                   |
| ourselves who only have limited resources, "Vivia   | ne says and when we make a s      | trategic decision like |
| this we can't afford to get it wrong."              |                                   |                        |

- 6. Complete conditional sentences with the correct forms of the verbs, the first sentence is completed as an example: (conditionals 1; 2; 3) (7 minutes; grammar)
- 1. If I (have) \_\_'d had\_\_ enough money, I (buy) \_\_\_'d have bought\_\_\_ a real Rolex but I just didn't, so I bought a fake.

| 2.       | If local counterfeiters of                  | nly (pay)    | a fine of \$1000 when they are caught                         |  |
|----------|---|--------------|---|--|
|          | they (keep on)                              |              | producing counterfeit goods.                                  |  |
| 3.       | There (not/be)                              |              | so many imitation drugs on the market if the prices           |  |
|          | of the genuine products                     | (not/be)     | so high.  |  |
| 4.       | If we (not/drop)                            |              | our prices in the regions I think we (be)                     |  |
|          |   | vulnerable t | o counterfeiting by local companies.                          |  |
| 5.       | We (not/manufacture)                        |              | locally if we (not/trust)                                     |  |
|          |   | _ them to pr | oduce only the agreed quantities. It's been fine so far.      |  |
|          |   |              |   |  |
|          |   |              |   |  |
|          | 7. Match the problem                        | with the ac  | tion taken: (5 minutes; vocabulary)                           |  |
| 1.       | The virus wiped out our                     | accounting   | records.  |  |
| 2.       | The project was running                     | yery late.   |   |  |
| 3.       | It has probably never ha                    | ppened bef   | ore   |  |
| 4.       | Relationships in the tea                    | m complete   | ly broke down   |  |
| a)       | ) But we managed to resolve our differences |              |   |  |
| b)       | But we came in under b                      | udget!       |   |  |
| c)       | But we negotiated an e                      | xtension on  | the deadline.   |  |
| d)       | Luckily we could get the                    | data from b  | packup files.   |  |
|          |   |              |   |  |
|          | 8. Complete the extra                       | ct from a pr | esentation about the future of the world's natural energy     |  |
|          | reserves with the fo                        | ollowing mo  | dal verbs. More than one answer is often possible, the first  |  |
|          | sentence is done as                         | an example   | e: ( 10 minutes; grammar)                                     |  |
|          | Will may might w                            | ould should  | d could   |  |
| Thank    | vou for inviting me here t                  | odav to mal  | ke this presentation about the future of our natural energy   |  |
|          |   | ·            | _ already be familiar with the complex nature of this subject |  |
|          |   |              | forgive me for trying to deal with it in only 45 minutes.     |  |
|          |   |              |   |  |
| If we lo |   | •            | call how confident people were that nuclear energy 3)         |  |
|          | •   |              | gy source and I don't think that anyone at that time 4)       |  |
|          |   | _            | mpact that the accident at Chernobyl 5)                       |  |
|          | have on o                                   | our confiden | ce in that industry. While the nuclear industry 6)            |  |

| still hold out so                        | ome home for a nuclear-powered future-this        | s time in the form of   |
|--|---|-------------------------|
| nuclear fusion -it now looks like it 7)  | be some time befor                                | e the necessary         |
| technologies are in place for it to succ | ceed. Indeed some sceptics 8)                     | even go as far          |
| as to say that 9)                        | never be the case! So from a world of             | confidence we now       |
| face the uncomfortable facts: fossil fu  | uels are finite resources and while they 10) $\_$ | be                      |
| sufficient to carry our economies thro   | ough the next few decades there is no doubt       | that beyond that        |
| horizon new sources of energy 11)        | have to be developed in                           | order to sustain our    |
| current economic model.                  |   |                         |
|  |   |                         |
| 9. Read the text and correc              | t any mistakes in the italicized comparative      | and superlative         |
| forms: (7 minutes gramn                  | nar)  |                         |
| The <b>later</b> 1) report fr            | om the Executive Watch Foundation shows           | that executive pay is   |
| becoming the 2) biggest                  | worry of corporate governance -even 3             | 3) most                 |
| worrying than the question of the ind    | dependence of auditors. In the USA the SEC i      | s already proposing     |
| new and significantly 4) tough           | rules that are designed to make it 5              | i) more                 |
| easily for shareholders to nominate c    | andidates to company boards. It is hoped th       | at this will lead to 6) |
| greater independent                      | ce for directors and to 7) lowest                 | remuneration            |
| packages for executives. Company di      | irectors and senior managers may also be ab       | out to receive far 8)   |
| less benefits such as s                  | tock options. The research has also shown t       | hat the companies that  |
| provided the 9) greatest                 | number of stock options to execut                 | ives were also those    |
| whose performance was the 10) wor        | se .  |                         |

10. Read the brief of dilemma and prepare a proposal for the leadership council, where you can explain your approach towards the issue: (30 minutes writing)

#### Harley's Angels

Brief

Jeffrey Bluestein, CEO of Harley Davidson was thinking about the future. He had already pulled the motorcycle manufacturer back from the brink of bankruptcy but now he was thinking of the serious problems that lay ahead. And top of the list was the fact that Harley Davidson customers were definitely ageing. The black leather Angels were getting greyer every day. From an average age of 36 ten years ago the customer was now edging closer to 46. But what to do? Bluestein decided that he would put the question to his team of advisors at the next meeting of the strategic leadership council. In fact, maybe he'd better tell them what he wanted them to do right now \_that way at the meeting they could present their ideas directly. Bluestein reached for the phone.

| Company and market information on Harley Davidson/Buell |                              |     |  |  |
|---|------------------------------|-----|--|--|
| Market Share  | US                           | 25% |  |  |
|   | Europe                       | 7%  |  |  |
| Income Sources  | Motorcycles                  | 80% |  |  |
|   | Accessories, parts, clothing | 20% |  |  |
| Demographics  | 91% of purchase are male     |     |  |  |
| Average age   | 46 years old                 |     |  |  |
| Average income  | \$ 79 000                    |     |  |  |

#### **Instructions:**

You have to choose, what will be your approach: marketing, (f. e. develop the merchandising sources of income by signing deals to develop the HD brand on clothing and fashion accessories) product, (f. e. invest in a new lighter and faster Harley Davidson models) acquisition, (f. e. Aggressive foreign expansion. HD has poor market penetration in Europe and far East. Huge potential exists, especially in China which has annual sales of 14 million motorcycles; Harley Davidson has acquired Buell a small manufacturer of sports motorcycles. This also could be an opportunity) or distribution (f. e. internet sales; review the HD dealer network) based.

| <br> |  |
|------|--|
| <br> |  |
|      |  |
| <br> |  |
|      |  |
| <br> |  |